Judging Quality Web Links

Because online authors earn credibility with their readers by including links to external sources that support their positions and verify the facts that they've included in their arguments, it is important for you to identify several statements in the piece that you are writing that would make for logical places to include links. It is also important to evaluate the overall quality of the sources that you intend to link to. This handout will guide you through that process:

Use these columns to identify places for links		Use this rubric to rate the quality of the resources that you are linking to		
Statement where you'd like to insert a link to evidence	Link address: (use http://www.snipurl.com to shorten this link).	Poor Resources	Average Resources	High Quality Resources
		 □ Don't include links to any external sources. □ Are full of emotionally loaded words and phrases. □ Include statements that don't make sense. □ Are out of date. □ Come from a source—an individual, group or business involved in this issue—who may be biased. 	 □ Include a handful of reliable links. □ Aren't overly biased, although it is easy to tell how the author feels about this issue. □ Share current information that can be verified, but fail to provide multiple viewpoints. □ Include contact information for the author. 	 □ Come from a source— university, well-known news source, business or expert—that you can trust. □ Share current information that can be verified through embedded links. □ Provide multiple viewpoints about the issue being studied. □ Include contact information for the author.
		 □ Don't include links to any external sources. □ Are full of emotionally loaded words and phrases. □ Include statements that don't make sense. □ Are out of date. □ Come from a source—an individual, group or business involved in this issue—who may be biased. 	 ☐ Include a handful of reliable links. ☐ Aren't overly biased, although it is easy to tell how the author feels about this issue. ☐ Share current information that can be verified, but fail to provide multiple viewpoints. ☐ Include contact information for the author. 	 □ Come from a source— university, well-known news source, business or expert—that you can trust. □ Share current information that can be verified through embedded links. □ Provide multiple viewpoints about the issue being studied. □ Include contact information for the author.
		 □ Don't include links to any external sources. □ Are full of emotionally loaded words and phrases. □ Include statements that don't make sense. □ Are out of date. □ Come from a source—an individual, group or business involved in this issue—who may be biased. 	 ☐ Include a handful of reliable links. ☐ Aren't overly biased, although it is easy to tell how the author feels about this issue. ☐ Share current information that can be verified, but fail to provide multiple viewpoints. ☐ Include contact information for the author. 	 □ Come from a source— university, well-known news source, business or expert—that you can trust. □ Share current information that can be verified through embedded links. □ Provide multiple viewpoints about the issue being studied. □ Include contact information for the author.